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## Supplementation Strategies for Range Cattle in NM

## Cow Requirements <br> Craig Gifford, Extension Beef Cattle Specialist
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## Importance of Minerals

About the College: The College of Agricultural, Consumer and Environmental Sciences is an engine for economic and community development in New Mexico, improving the lives of New Mexicans through academic, research, and extension programs.

## Macro vs. Micro

- Micro minerals are present in small amounts in the body.
- chromium, cobalt, copper, fluorine, iodine, iron, manganese, molybdenum, selenium, and zinc
- Macro minerals are present in large amounts in the body.
- calcium, chlorine, magnesium, phosphorus, potassium, sodium, and sulfur


## Minerals of concern and interactions

- Ca: Mn, Se, and Zn
- 0.5\% Ca reduced serum Zn (Perry et al., 1968)
- $\mathrm{Fe}: \mathrm{Cu}$ and Mn
- Zn : Cu
- $\mathrm{S}: \mathrm{Cu}$ and Se
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Mineral requirements based on stage of production, maximum tolerable levels and the greatest impact on performance in beef cattle. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Growing- <br> Finishing | Gestating <br> Dry Cows | Lactating <br> Cows |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mineral | BW <br> $\mathbf{6 5 0}$ lbs | BW <br> $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 0}$ lbs | BW <br> $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 0}$ lbs | Max. <br> Tolerable | Performance <br> Impacted |  |
| Ca, \% | 0.31 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 1.8 | Growth |  |
| P, \% | 0.27 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.3 | Growth |  |
| Na, \% | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 4.0 | Milk Prod. |  |
| CI, \% | - | - | - | 4.0 | Milk Prod. |  |
| Mg, \% | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.40 | Growth |  |
| S,\% | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.40 | Growth |  |
| K, \% | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 3.0 | Reprod. |  |
| Co, ppm | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 10.0 | Growth |  |
| Cu,ppm | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 100.0 | Growth |  |
| I, ppm | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 50.0 | Milk Prod. |  |
| Mn, pm | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 1000.0 | Reprod. |  |
| Se, pm | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 2.0 | Immunity |  |
| Zn, ppm | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 500.0 | Immunity |  |

${ }^{a}$ Requirements based on values provided by NRC, 2000, and expressed in concentration (\% or ppm).

## NM forage macromineral content

Low High


## NM forage micromineral content

■ Low ■ High


## Cow macromineral supply d 60 of gestation

 $■$ Req ■ Supply

## Cow micromineral supply d 60 of gestation
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Minerals are deficient; now what?

About the College: The College of Agricultural, Consumer and Environmental Sciences is an engine for economic and community development in New Mexico, improving the lives of New Mexicans through academic, research, and extension programs.

## Supplementation: Free Choice - Blocks

- Blue, red, white, yellow...



## Supplementation: Free

 Choice- Reputable bagged mineral

MINERAL/VITAMIN
LEVEL
Calcium (Ca), min ..... 13.00\%
Calcium (Ca), max ..... 15.00\%
Phosphorus (P), min ..... 4.00\%
Salt ( NaCl ), min ..... 16.50\%
Salt ( NaCl ), max ..... 18.50\%
Magnesium (Mg), min ..... 10.00\%
Potassium (K), min ..... 0.10\%
Zinc ( Zn ), min ..... 3,600 PPM
Manganese (Mn), min ..... 3,600 PPM
Copper ( Cu ), min ..... 1,200 PPM
Cobalt (Co), min ..... 12 PPM
Iodine (I), min ..... 60 PPM
Selenium ( Se ), min ..... 27 PPM
Vitamin A, min ..... 75,000 IU/LB
Vitamin D, min ..... 7,500 IU/LB

## Comparing

- PPM vs \%
- 0.1\% = 1000 ppm
- Example: Block Mg 2,400 ppm
Bag Mg 10\% = 100,000 ppm

Blocks are mostly salt

## What About Organic?

- Mineral is chelated to increase availability
- "More digestible or absorbable"
- In general, unless you have a problem, you don't need to spend the money


## Injectable

- Bypasses digestive system so absorption is not an issue
- Good way to rapidly increase mineral status in deficient cattle
- Improve mineral status in cattle not supplemented or not eating mineral
- If cattle consuming good mineral?


## General Guidelines

- Provide free choice bagged mineral
- Tubs: mineral specific
- Provide near water supplies
- Monitor intake
- 50 pounds $=800$ oz
- 10 cows x 2 oz/day = 20 oz/day
- For every 10 cows, 1 bag should last about 40 days
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## Nutritional Considerations

## What do we need to know?

- When do we calve?
- When does breeding season start?
-When do we wean?
- Who are we feeding?
- What are their needs?



## Major Considerations

- Amount of feed available
- Crude protein (CP) content of diet
- Energy (TDN) available from the diet
- Current condition of the herd



## Requirements aren't the same

- Heifers (growing and puberty)
- $2 s$ and $3 s$ (growing, gestating, and lactating, breed back)
- Middle-aged cows
- Old cows



## Cow Dry Matter Intake Requirements



## Cow CP Requirement



## Cow TDN Requirement



Nutrient requirements for 600 lb replacement heifer


## Pregnant Heifer CP requirements



## Pregnant Heifer TDN requirements



## Cow CP Requirement and average forage quality



## Cow CP Requirement and high-quality forage



## CP requirement and low-quality forage



## Lack of Protein

- Insufficient protein can also lead to reduced energy
- Remember, you are feeding microbes!


Figure 1. Forage dry matter (DM) intake relative to the forage crude protein (CP) content.

## Supplement decision guide

## Does cow have all she can eat in pasture?



## Supplement decision guide

Does cow have all she can eat in pasture?

## NO

- Forage supply is inadequate; energy deficient
- Reduce the forage needs of herd by lowering stocking rate and/or feeding supplement


## Supplement decision guide

Does cow have all she can eat in pasture?


## NO

What color is forage?

## Brown

- Supplement with 20-28\% CP
- 0.3 to $0.5 \%$ BW/day
- Energy is deficient
- Protein is likely $<7 \%$, limits digestion
- Consider \$/lb CP and \$/lb TDN
- IF forage shortage is severe Supplement with <20\%CP
- 0.4 to 0.8\% BW/day
- Price \$/lb TDN


## Green

- Supplement energy with < 20\% CP
- 0.4\% to 0.8\% BW/day
- Protein is sufficient
- Energy is deficient
- Price \$/Ib TDN


## Supplement decision guide

Does cow have all she can eat in pasture?


## YES

What color is forage?

## Brown

- Protein is likely $\mathbf{< 7 \%}$, limits intake and digestion


## Supplement decision guide

Does cow have all she can eat in pasture?


## YES

What color is forage?
Brown


- Protein is likely $\mathbf{< 7 \%}$, limits intake and digestion


> Are cows in adequate body condition (BCS >4.5)

## Supplement decision guide

Does cow have all she can eat in pasture?

## YES

What color is forage?
Brown

Are cows in adequate body condition (BCS >4.5)


- Supplement with > 32\% CP
- 0.1 to 0.3\% BW/day
- Improves rumen efficiency
- Price \$/lb CP
- Supplement with 28 to 32\% CP
- 0.25 to $0.4 \%$ BW/day
- Improves rumen efficiency
- Provides extra energy
- Price $\$ / \mathrm{lb}$ CP and $\$ / \mathrm{lb}$ TDN

Mineral requirements based on stage of production, maximum tolerable levels and the greatest impact on performance in beef cattle. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Growing- <br> Finishing | Gestating <br> Dry Cows | Lactating <br> Cows |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mineral | BW <br> $\mathbf{6 5 0}$ lbs | BW <br> $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 0}$ lbs | BW <br> $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 0}$ lbs | Max. <br> Tolerable | Performance <br> Impacted |  |
| Ca, \% | 0.31 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 1.8 | Growth |  |
| P, \% | 0.27 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.3 | Growth |  |
| Na, \% | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 4.0 | Milk Prod. |  |
| CI, \% | - | - | - | 4.0 | Milk Prod. |  |
| Mg, \% | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.40 | Growth |  |
| S,\% | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.40 | Growth |  |
| K, \% | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 3.0 | Reprod. |  |
| Co, ppm | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 10.0 | Growth |  |
| Cu,ppm | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 100.0 | Growth |  |
| I, ppm | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 50.0 | Milk Prod. |  |
| Mn, pm | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 1000.0 | Reprod. |  |
| Se, pm | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 2.0 | Immunity |  |
| Zn, ppm | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 500.0 | Immunity |  |

${ }^{a}$ Requirements based on values provided by NRC, 2000, and expressed in concentration (\% or ppm).

CNIVITIVM, FRINLLLL
P.O. BOX 1264

CROWNPOINT, NM 87313
ANALYSIS

|  | Dry Basis | As Received |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moisture |  | 6.34 | \% |
| Dry Matter . |  | 93.66 | \% |
| Protein, Crude | 2.86 | 2.68 | \% |
| ADF-Acid Detergent Fiber | 41.93 | 39.27 | \% |
| NEL: Net Energy-Lactation | 0.46 | 0.43 | Mcal/lb |
| NEG: Net Energy-Gain . | 0.13 | 0.12 | Mcal/b |
| NEM: Net Energy-Maintenance | 0.37 | 0.35 | Mcal/lb |
| TDN: Total Digestible Nutrients | 45.94 | 43.03 | \% |
| Calcium | 0.45 | 0.42 | \% |
| Phosphorus | Less than 0.01 |  | \% |
| Potassium. | 0.15 | 0.14 | \% |
| Magnesium | 0.06 | 0.06 | \% |
| Sodium . | Less than 0.01 |  | \% |
| Sulfur | 0.10 | 0.09 | \% |
| Aluminum | 1320.00 | 1236.31 | ppm |
| Cobalt. | 2.04 | 1.91 | ppm |
| Copper | 7.40 | 6.93 | ppm |
| Iron. | 784.00 | 734.29 | ppm |
| Manganese | 36.40 | 34.09 | ppm |
| Molybdenum . | 2.85 | 2.67 | ppm |
| Zinc . . . . . | 9.38 | 8.79 | ppm |
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```
LANTANA, MIKELLE
P.O. BOX }126
CROWNPOINT, NM }8731
```

ANALYSIS

|  | Dry Basis | As Received |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moisture |  | 7.07 | \% |
| Dry Matter |  | 92.93 | \% |
| Protein, Crude . | 2.87 | 2.67 | \% |
| ADF-Acid Detergent Fiber | 41.76 | 38.81 | \% |
| NEL: Net Energy-Lactation | 0.46 | 0.43 | Mcal/lb |
| NEG: Net Energy-Gain . | 0.13 | 0.12 | Mcal/b |
| NEM: Net Energy-Maintenance | 0.38 | 0.35 | Mcal/b |
| TDN: Total Digestible Nutrients | 46.17 | 42.91 | \% |
| Calcium | 0.18 | 0.17 | \% |
| Phosphorus | Less than 0.01 |  | \% |
| Potassium | 0.32 | 0.30 | \%, |
| Magnesium . | 0.04 | 0.04 | \% |
| Sodium . | 0.02 | 0.02 | \% |
| Sulfur | 0.06 | 0.06 | \% |
| Aluminum | 250.00 | 232.33 | ppm |
| Cobalt | 0.78 | 0.72 | ppm |
| Copper | 4.61 | 4.28 | ppm |
| Iron. | 190.00 | 176.57 | ppm |
| Manganese | 32.80 | 30.48 | ppm |
| Molybdenum . | 1.37 | 1.27 | ppm |
| Zinc. . | 8.84 | 8.22 | ppm |

> LANTANA, MIKELLE P.O. BOX 1264
> CROWNPOINT, NM 87313

ANALYSIS

|  | Dry Basis | As Received |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moisture |  | 7.07 | \% |
| Dry Matter . |  | 92.93 | \% |
| Protein, Crude . | 2.87 | 2.67 | \% |
| ADF-Acid Detergent Fiber | 41.76 | 38.81 | \% |
| NEL: Net Energy-Lactation | 0.46 | 0.43 | Mcal/lb |
| NEG: Net Energy-Gain. | 0.13 | 0.12 | Mcal/b |
| NEM: Net Energy-Maintenance . | 0.38 | 0.35 | Mcal/lb |
| TDN: Total Digestible Nutrients | 46.17 | 42.91 | \% |
| Calcium . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0.18 | 0.17 | \% |
| Phosphorus. | Less than 0.01 |  | \% |
| Potassium . | 0.32 | 0.30 | \% |
| Magnesium . | 0.04 | 0.04 | \% |
| Sodium... | 0.02 | 0.02 | \% |
| Sulfur | 0.06 | 0.06 | \% |
| Aluminum | 250.00 | 232.33 | ppm |
| Cobalt | 0.78 | 0.72 | ppm |
| Copper | 4.61 | 4.28 | ppm |
| Iron. | 190.00 | 176.57 | ppm |
| Manganese . | 32.80 | 30.48 | ppm |
| Molybdenum . | 1.37 | 1.27 | ppm |
| Zinc. . . | 8.84 | 8.22 | ppm |

## Female Requirements, \%

|  | DMI | CP | TDN | ME | NEm | $C a$ | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | lb | $\%$ | $\%$ | Mcal/d | Mcal/d | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| \%EIFER 1200 lb Mature BW 10 lb of milk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First | 19.8 | 7.2 | 50.6 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 7.19 | 0.18 |
| Second | 21.5 | 7.4 | 51.5 | 0.48 | 0.23 | 7.35 | 0.17 |
| Third | 23.7 | 8.7 | 56.6 | 0.56 | 0.30 | 8.68 | 0.22 |

COW 1200 lb mature BW 10 lb of milk

| First | 25.2 | 7.4 | 52.2 | 0.87 | 0.49 | 7.35 | 0.17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | 24.1 | 6.2 | 45.9 | 0.77 | 0.39 | 6.22 | 0.12 |
| Third | 24.2 | 7.8 | 52.6 | 0.88 | 0.49 | 7.84 | 0.16 |
| Lactation/breeding | 25.1 | 8.5 | 55.0 | 0.92 | 0.53 | 8.45 | 0.20 |

COW 1200 lb mature BW 20 lb of milk

| First | 26.5 | 8.6 | 54.8 | 0.91 | 0.53 | 0.24 | 0.17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | 24.1 | 6.2 | 45.9 | 0.77 | 0.39 | 0.15 | 0.12 |
| Third | 24.2 | 7.8 | 52.6 | 0.88 | 0.49 | 0.25 | 0.16 |
| Lactation/breeding | 27.7 | 10.2 | 58.7 | 0.98 | 0.59 | 0.3 | 0.20 |

## Female Requirements, Ib

|  | DMI | CP | TDN | ME | NEm | Ca | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HEIFER 1200 lb mature BW 10 lb of milk | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{Mcal} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{Mcal} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{d}$ |

COW 1200 lb mature BW 10 lb of milk

| First | 25.2 | 1.85 | 13.13 | 21.90 | 12.33 | 0.050 | 0.036 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | 24.1 | 1.50 | 11.07 | 18.48 | 9.32 | 0.036 | 0.029 |
| Third | 24.2 | 1.90 | 12.74 | 21.23 | 11.95 | 0.061 | 0.039 |
| Lactation/breeding | 25.1 | 2.12 | 13.80 | 23.08 | 13.38 | 0.060 | 0.042 |

COW 1200 lb mature BW 20 lb of milk

| First | 26.5 | 2.28 | 14.54 | 24.25 | 13.01 | 0.064 | 0.039 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | 24.1 | 1.50 | 11.07 | 18.48 | 9.32 | 0.036 | 0.032 |
| Third | 24.2 | 1.90 | 12.74 | 21.23 | 11.95 | 0.061 | 0.039 |
| Lactation/breeding | 27.7 | 2.83 | 16.25 | 27.11 | 14.75 | 0.082 | 0.054 |

## Female Requirements, lb



COW 1200 lb mature BW 10 lb of milk

| First | 25.2 | 1.85 | 3.4 | 2.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | 24.1 | 1.50 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| Third | 24.2 | 1.90 | 3.7 | 2.3 |
| Lactation/breeding | 25.1 | 2.12 | 4.8 | 3.0 |

COW 1200 lb mature BW 20 lb of milk

| First | 26.5 | 2.28 | 5.7 | 3.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | 24.1 | 1.50 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| Third | 24.2 | 1.90 | 3.7 | 2.3 |
| Lactation/breeding | 27.7 | 2.83 | 8.6 | 5.4 |

## Female Requirements, lb

| DMI | CP | $20 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lb/d | lb/d | feed lb/d feed lb/d |  |

HEIFER 1200 lb mature BW 10 lb of milk

| First | 19.8 | 1.42 | 4.3 | 2.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | 21.5 | 1.58 | 4.8 | 3.2 |
| Third | 23.7 | 2.06 | 6.9 | 4.6 |

COW 1200 lb mature BW 10 lb of milk

| First | 25.2 | 1.85 | 5.6 | 3.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | 24.1 | 1.50 | 4.1 | 2.7 |
| Third | 24.2 | 1.90 | 6.0 | 4.0 |
| Lactation/breeding | 25.1 | 2.12 | 7.0 | 4.7 |

COW 1200 lb mature BW 20 lb of milk

| First | 26.5 | 2.28 | 7.6 | 5.1 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Second | 24.1 | 1.50 | 4.1 | 2.7 |
| Third | 24.2 | 1.90 | 6.0 | 4.0 |
| Lactation/breeding | 27.7 | 2.83 | 10.2 | 6.8 |

New Mexico State University

## Female Requirements, Ib

|  | DMI | CP <br> Ib/d <br> Ib/d | TDN <br> Ib/d | Supplied <br> $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{day}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| HEIFER 1200 lb mature BW 10 lb of milk |  |  |  | 9.1 |
| First | 19.8 | 1.42 | 10.01 | 9.9 |
| Second | 21.5 | 1.58 | 11.10 | 10.9 |
| Third | 23.7 | 2.06 | 13.44 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| COW 1200 lb mature BW 10 lb of milk |  |  |  |  |
| First | 25.2 | 1.85 | 13.13 | 11.6 |
| Second | 24.1 | 1.50 | 11.07 | 11.1 |
| Third | 24.2 | 1.90 | 12.74 | 11.1 |
| Lactation/breeding | 25.1 | 2.12 | 13.80 | 11.5 |

COW 1200 lb mature BW 20 lb of milk

| First | 26.5 | 2.28 | 14.54 | 12.2 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second | 24.1 | 1.50 | 11.07 | 11.1 |
| Third | 24.2 | 1.90 | 12.74 | 11.1 |
| Lactation/breeding | 27.7 | 2.83 | 16.25 | 12.7 |
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## Water Contributions

## Suboptimal Water Intake

- We often think of lack of water as severe dehydration
- Try to think about water like other aspects of production. There is an optimum and intake that is less than optimum will result in varied physiological responses
- Relative to water, dry matter intake is significantly impacted by "water quality"


## Water Quality and Intake

Table 2. Intake and performance of growing steers supplied water with various total dissolved solid and sulfate levels in western South Dakota (Least Squares Mean) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Item | Total Dissolved Solid/Sulfate Level, ppm |  |  |  | SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,226/441 | 2,933/1,725 | 4,720/2,919 | 7,268/4,654 |  |
| Initial Weight, lb | 642 | 640 | 640 | 639 | 2 |
| Final Weight, $\mathrm{lb}^{\text {b }}$ | 827 | 812 | 794 | 710 | 5 |
| ADG, lb/d ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.78 | 1.65 | 1.48 | 0.61 | 0.11 |
| DM Intake, lb/d ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 20.79 | 20.62 | 18.95 | 13.18 | 0.95 |
| Gain/Feed ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.086 | 0.080 | 0.078 | 0.045 | 0.005 |
| Water Intake, gallons/d ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 15.04 | 13.43 | 11.97 | 9.53 | 0.62 |

[^0]
## Intake, ADG, and Gain/Feed declined with increasing TDS/Sulfate concentrations!

## Management Considerations: <br> Drought

- Water from forage
- Assume cow requires 24 lbs DM
- $88 \% \mathrm{DM}=$ consume 27 lbs forage $=3.34 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}(1 / 2$ gallon)
- $60 \% \mathrm{DM}=$ consume 40 lbs forage $=16 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{H} 20$ (1.5-2.0 gallons)
- Cows grazing green forage can obtain up to 8 gallons water from grazing alone (Ted McCollum)
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# Questions? 

Craig Gifford<br>Extension Beef Cattle Specialist<br>Department of Extension Animal Sciences and Natural Resources<br>New Mexico State University<br>P.O. Box 3000, MSC 3AE<br>Las Cruces, NM 88003<br>cgifford@nmsu.edu<br>Phone: 575-646-6482


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cattle fed a consistent diet ( $0.97 \mathrm{Mcal} / \mathrm{kg}$ NEg) and provided various water for 104 days during the summer.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Measurements declined quadratically with increasing total dissolved solids and with increasing sulfates ( $P<0.05$ ).
    ${ }^{\text {cheas }}$ Mearements declined linearly with increasing total dissolved solids and with increasing sulfates ( $P<0.01$ ).

